

DEFEAT THIS TREASON !

The following Members of the "European Parliament" are traitors who proved their guilt by voting on February 14th of this year in favour of an entirely new and revolutionary draft Treaty of European Union. Their act was high treason because the Treaty envisages setting up a new "Union of Europe" with autonomous powers to achieve monetary, economic, political and fiscal union. It will be a sovereign body acting in obedience to the dictates of the Commission and its President, with laws taking precedence over national laws.

Bedfordshire: P. Beazley (C). Birmingham South: Miss N. F. Forster (C). Hereford and Worcester: Sir James Scott-Hopkins (C). Hertfordshire: D. Prag (C). Kent East: C. Jackson (C). Kent West: B. Patterson (C). Lancashire East: T. E. Keller-Bowman (C). Leicester: F. A. Tuckman (C). Lincolnshire: W. F. Newton Dunn (C). Liverpool: Miss G. Hooper (C). London South East: Sir Brandon Rhys Williams (C). Midlands Central: J. de Courcy Ling (C). Norfolk: P. Howell (C). Nottingham: M. Gallagher (Lab). Scotland North East: J. Provan (C). Staffordshire East: R. J. Moreland (C). Suffolk: A. E. Turner (C). Sussex West: M. Seligman (C). Scotland South: A. Hutton (C).

Two *Candour* readers who are indefatigable campaigners for national sovereignty — Miss Margaret Crawford and Dr. Kitty Little — urge every subscriber in the above "Euro-constituencies" to draw the attention of the police to these M.E.P.s' treasonable activity, by writing to their respective Chief Constables demanding an investigation. Wholeheartedly we support their initiative in calling for such criminals to be brought to justice and accordingly print below a detailed memorandum researched by Dr. Little summarising the manner in which support for the draft Treaty establishing European Union comprises offences under the Treason Act of 1351 and the Treason Felony Act of 1848. The main conclusions are given in paragraphs 35 to 38. Archbold, incidentally, is the Police "Bible" as far as the law is concerned, and so it would be advisable to accompany letters to Chief Constables with Margaret Crawford's paper. Further copies are obtainable from *Candour* Publishing Co. for 30p. — *Editor*.

TREASONABLE ASPECTS OF THE TREATY ESTABLISHING EUROPEAN UNION

by MISS MARGARET CRAWFORD

1. On February 14th, 1984, the European Assembly voted by a large majority for the draft Treaty establishing European Union. This summer it is being sent to national parliaments, asking for ratification. Under the Treason Act of 1351 and the Treason Felony Act of 1848 it would appear that anyone who takes positive steps to have that Treaty apply to the United Kingdom is committing offences under these two Acts.

Treason

2. First we need to consider what constitutes treason under the 1351 Act. The relevant clause of the Act is: "... to be adherent to the King's enemies in his realm, giving them aid and comfort in the realm or elsewhere ..." At the present time this clause of the Act referring to the King's enemies is understood to refer to the Queen's enemies (Interpretation Act, 1889).

3. According to Archbold, para. 3028: "Any act

done by a British subject which strengthens or tends to strengthen the enemies of the Queen in the conduct of a war against the Queen, or which weakens or tends to weaken the power of the Queen and of the country to resist or attack the enemies of the Queen and country, constitutes giving aid and comfort to her enemies within the meaning of this part of the Act of Edward III".

4. Examples of overt acts that it has been decided are sufficient overt acts of treason within the Treason Act of 1351 are (Archbold, para. 3016): deposing the Queen, or usurping the powers of Government, or resolving to do it; levying war directly against the Queen; a conspiracy to levy war directly against the Queen, for the purpose of dethroning her, or of obliging her to change her measures or the like; adhering to the Queen's enemies; inciting foreigners to invade the realm.

5. Such overt acts of treason are also offences under the Treason Felony Act of 1848.

6. According to Archbold, para. 3012: "Where several overt acts are laid, proof of any one of them will maintain the count, provided the overt act so proved is a sufficient overt act of the species of treason charged in the indictment".

The Queen's Enemies

7. The present generally accepted legal definition of the Queen's enemies would seem to be: "Subjects of a state in actual hostility with us, whether war has been solemnly declared or not" (Archbold, para. 3031).

8. In recent centuries it has been taken for granted that the term "enemy" referred to foreign states and the subjects of foreign states. This was not the original meaning, as may be seen from a consideration of the Privy Councillor's Oath. This states: "You do swear by Almighty God to be a true and faithful servant unto the Queen's Majesty, as one of Her Majesty's Privy Council . . . You will to your uttermost bear Faith and Allegiance unto the Queen's Majesty; and will assist and defend all Jurisdictions, Pre-eminences and Authorities, granted to Her Majesty, and annexed to the Crown by Acts of Parliament, or otherwise, against all Foreign Princes, Persons, Prelates, States or Potentates".

9. This is a wider definition, and includes individuals and groupings of individuals as well as sovereign states. Being a component part of the Privy Council Oath it would seem to take precedence over the narrower definition that is based upon a few case histories. That is, other groupings, besides sovereign states, can be enemies of the Queen.

10. In the middle of the last century an organisation began to come to prominence that aimed at setting up a World State, with the eradication of all sovereign nations. Among the most important requirements outlined in the Communist Manifesto, written by Karl Marx, for the establishment of the One World dictatorship were: the abolition of private property; the abolition of the family; the abolition of countries and nationalities; the abolition of "religious liberty and freedom of conscience", together with "all religion, all morality". In short, the Communists everywhere support every revolutionary movement against the existing social and political order of things.

11. In this context the word "Communist" does not only signify a member of the Communist Party, but everyone who aspires to setting up the One World State, whatever label they go under.

12. Their target was the whole world, with Russia their immediate objective. This and other nations of the U.S.S.R. were defeated in 1917, and

the U.S.S.R. became the Communist military base for their attack on the rest of the world.

13. The problem was recognised in reports published by the Foreign Office in their White Paper of April 1919. For example, after the sacking of the British Embassy, British interests in Russia were represented by the Netherlands Minister at Petrograd. A portion of his report of 17th September, 1918, reads: "The foregoing report will indicate the extremely critical nature of the present situation. The danger is now so great that I feel it my duty to call the attention of the British and all other Governments to the fact that if an end is not put to Bolshevism in Russia at once the civilisation of the whole world will be threatened. This is not an exaggeration, but a sober matter of fact . . . I consider that the immediate suppression of Bolshevism is the greatest issue now before the world, not even excluding the war which is still raging . . ." (p.6).

14. On p.56 of that same White Paper the Bolshevik tenets were summarised as: "Radically to destroy all ideas of patriotism and nationality by preaching the doctrine of internationalism . . . To obstruct by every means the creation of military power by preaching the ideas of peace, and to foster the abolition of military discipline. To keep the masses under the hypnosis of false Socialistic literature."

15. In the century since Communism was launched their plans have developed and matured. In 1928 the Communist International presented a three-stage plan for achieving world government: Socialise the economies of all nations; bring about regional unions of various groupings of these socialised nations; amalgamate all of these regional groupings into a final world-wide union of socialist states.

16. In the official programme of the Communist International in 1936 was the statement: "Dictatorship can be established only by a victory of socialism in different countries or groups of countries, after which the proletariat republics would unite on federal lines with those already in existence, and this system of federal unions would expand . . . at length forming the World Union of Socialist Soviet Republics".

17. In 1942, at the time Stalin was co-operating with Marxists in the Western nations to lay the foundations of the United Nations Organisation, he defined the intermediate goals of Communism, necessary for the final take-over of the world, as: a) Confuse, disorganise and destroy the forces of capitalism around the world; b) Bring all nations together into a single world system of economy; c) Force the advanced countries to pour prolonged financial aid into the under-developed countries; d) Divide the world into regional groups as a transi-

tional stage to total world government. Populations will more readily abandon their national loyalties to a vague regional loyalty than to a world authority; e) Later, the regional groups can be brought all the way into a world dictatorship.

18. The United Nations Organisation was formed with these objectives in mind. Its Charter and organisation were formulated by the then Soviet Foreign Minister and Soviet agents in the U.S.A. They arranged the conditions for employment of the U.N. Secretariat, the "World" civil service. Communists were acceptable, but anyone "connected with fascism" was barred. In this connection, "fascism" has been consistently interpreted to mean anyone who is patriotic and/or opposed to a world Marxist dictatorship, the One World Government.

19. These considerations lead to the conclusion that the Queen's enemies must be deemed to include not only hostile foreign states, such as the U.S.S.R. (the very existence of N.A.T.O.,* to which the United Kingdom belongs, and the fact that its whole strategy is based on preparation to resist an attack from the U.S.S.R., shows that the U.S.S.R. is regarded as an enemy), but also the One World organisation of which the U.S.S.R. may be recognised as the military wing, which is international in character, with some of its leading members British subjects or citizens.

A State Of Hostility

20. The definition of the term "hostility" also requires consideration. In the past, so far as treason is concerned, it has usually been considered in terms of a "hot" war, with defences destroyed by missiles of one form or another. But, particularly in the last quarter of a century, the concept of a "cold" war has gained in importance.

21. There is, therefore, a need to consider more closely the objectives that may be sought in waging a war. Such objectives are: a) Seizure of the territories of the nation; b) Seizure of national assets, for use by foreign rulers; c) The establishment of alien laws, imposed by an alien ruler; d) The destruction of the national Parliament; e) The dethronement of the Monarch and the eradication of the national Monarchy.

22. Any weapon used to gain such objectives, whether using the methods of psychological warfare, deceit (one may remember the example of the Trojan Horse), or other tactics may in this context be regarded as weapons of war. A television company disseminating Marxist propaganda may be worth many army divisions of an enemy.

* N.A.T.O. itself being internationalist is also a part of the One World Trap. ED.

The Draft Treaty Establishing European Union

23. When one examines in detail the draft Treaty establishing European Union, one finds that there is a close similarity with the 1977 Constitution of the U.S.S.R., that replaced previous constitutions for the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. This similarity is sufficiently close to make it incompatible with any suggestion that Soviet-type activities of the executive of the European Union would be excluded. In particular, one may note that there are no reserve clauses that could effectively curb the power of the executive. All democratic constitutions have such clauses.

24. In the United Kingdom we have no written constitution, but there has been the interaction of the Monarchy, the elected House of Commons, the hereditary House of Lords and the judiciary. The traditional role of British law has been well described by Lord Denning: "Of all the glories we have been handed down by the centuries of English history, our judiciary is probably the most precious and the one to be most jealously guarded. I see them in my mind's eye, those great judges who have nurtured and encouraged the canon of common and case law which has safeguarded our people".

25. According to Article 5 of the draft Treaty, "The territory of the Union shall consist of all the territories of member states as specified by the Treaty establishing the European Economic Community . . ." That is, whoever controls the Union would control our national territories.

26. According to Article 6 of the draft Treaty, the Union (which must mean whoever controls the Union "may acquire and dispose of movable and immovable property . . .")

27. According to Article 25 of the draft Treaty, the President of the Commission shall be appointed by the European Council, that is itself an appointed and not an elected body (Article 20). The President would then appoint other members of the Commission.

28. According to the explanatory Working Document (European Communities 1-1200/83/B), "The new Commission will assume full responsibility not only for the management but also for the planning of common actions, together with the related legislative and financial initiatives". This intention is implemented in various Articles of the draft Treaty.

29. According to the draft Treaty outline laws would be approved, modified or rejected by the European Parliament, but then, under Article 40, we find that "the Commission shall determine the regulations and decisions required for the imple-

mentation of laws in accordance with the procedure laid down by those laws". That is, the detailed statutory laws would be decided by the President and his appointees, and would not be subject to vetting by the European Parliament or other elected body.

30. According to Article 42 of the draft Treaty, "The laws of the Union shall be directly applicable to the Member States. It shall take precedence over national law". That is, the laws of England referred to by Lord Denning would be replaced by detailed statutory laws formulated and implemented by people under the control of the President of the Commission. Further, in Article 35 we find that the laws may "vary according to the addressee".

31. The draft Treaty provides for the transition from national member States to a totally integrated European Union, this to take ten years. The intention is for complete integration. Article 11 provides for the progressive transfer of actions from co-operation to common actions (these on the initiative of the Commission), while its clause 2 states, "In the fields covered by common action, common action may not be replaced by co-operation". The Commissioners would take over the functions previously performed by national Governments and the Western European Monarchies. Instead, under the Commission, there would be Regions to handle local matters. The map of the European Union shows ten such Regions in Great Britain and four in Ireland. England has been eliminated from the map.

32. At no stage has this intention to eradicate the nation, with the Commission and its President taking over the functions of the Queen and Parliament, been clearly explained to the British people, or their mandate obtained. In fact, the intention has been carefully concealed, so that until a few weeks ago many Members of Parliament were unaware of the existence of the draft Treaty, or that it had been approved by Members of the European Parliament on February 14th, 1984, with only thirteen M.E.P.s from the United Kingdom opposing it.

33. Conversely, the present Government, voted in with the largest majority in recent times, explicitly stated in their election manifesto: "The British Constitution has outlasted most of the alternatives which have been offered as replacements. It is because we stand firm for the supremacy of Parliament . . . The House of Lords . . . We will ensure that it has a secure and effective future. A strong Second Chamber is a vital safeguard for democracy . . ."

34. This, then, may be regarded as the wish and the will of the people, and it is in accord with the laws of the realm, and in particular the Treason Act of 1351 and the Treason Felony Act of 1848.

The Manner In Which Promotion Of The Draft Treaty Establishing European Union Constitutes An Offence Under The Treason Acts.

35. Since the effect of the draft Treaty, if ratified, would be to replace the rule of the Queen and her Parliament, comprising the House of Commons and the House of Lords, by rule by the executive of the European Union, those who are promoting that Treaty are seeking to usurp the power of Government.

36. Since the intention of those seeking to impose a One World dictatorship is that there shall be neither nations nor Monarchies, and since the draft Treaty establishing European Union makes no provision for the continuation of the Monarchy after the ten year period for the establishment of the Union has expired, those who are promoting that Treaty are seeking to depose the Queen. (N.B. In 1972 Mr. John Davies, who accompanied the then Prime Minister, Mr. Edward Heath, to the Paris meeting, reported that Mr. Heath and M. Pompidou were agreed that the phasing out of the Monarchy was an essential requirement for a fully integrated Europe.)

37. Since the intentions spelt out in the draft Treaty include: a) Seizure of the territories of the nation; b) Seizure of national assets for use by foreign rulers; c) The establishment of alien laws, imposed by an alien ruler; d) The removal of all authority from Parliament, and e) The removal of all constitutional functions from the Monarch. Since these are the objectives that are commonly sought in the pursuit of war, those promoting that Treaty must be considered to be conspiring to levy war directly against the Queen, albeit a cold war, for the purposes stated above.

38. Since by their actions these persons are seeking the ends desired by the enemies of the Queen, they must be considered to be adhering to the Queen's enemies.

Specific Overt Acts

39. According to Archbold, para. 3015: "The evidence must be applied to the proof of the overt act, and not to the proof of the principal treason: for the overt act is the charge to which the defendant must apply his defence. And whether the overt act proved is a sufficient overt act of the principal treason laid to the indictment is a matter of law to be determined by the court. No evidence may be admitted of any overt act not laid in the indictment: that is to say, no overt act amounting to a distinct independent charge, shall be admitted in evidence, unless it be expressly laid in the indictment; but if an overt act not laid amounts to a direct proof of any other overt act which is laid, it may be given in evidence to prove such an overt act".

40. According to Archbold, para. 3017: "Words spoken or written and published may constitute an overt act if relating to a treasonable act or design. Words of advice or persuasion are sufficient overt acts of this species of treason, if they advance or persuade to an act which would of itself be a sufficient overt act. Words may be laid in the indictment to explain an act: as, for example, an act seemly in itself may be shown to be an overt act of treason, by its connection with words spoken by the party at the time. Where words or writings are laid as overt acts, it is sufficient to set forth the substance of them, for in no case is it necessary that the whole of the evidence should be set forth, it is sufficient that the charge be reduced to a reasonable certainty, so that the defendant may be apprised of its nature, and may be prepared to answer it. Where words of incitement have reference to an act, after giving evidence of the words, the prosecution may give evidence of the act, in order fully to explain them".

41. According to Archbold, para. 1018: "Conspiracy: Where a conspiracy is laid as an overt act, the acts of any of the conspirators in furtherance of the common design may be given in evidence against all. In such a case, the evidence must be given to connect the defendant with it; and lastly, if it is intended to give in evidence against the defendant the acts of any other person, it must be shown that such person was also a member of the same conspiracy, and that the act was done in furtherance of a common design".

42. According to Archbold, para. 3019: "The time at which the overt acts were alleged to have been committed need not be proved as laid: it is sufficient if they are proved to have been committed at any time within three years before the signing of the indictment".

43. According to Archbold, para. 3025: "The special acts of adherence must be set forth in the indictment as overt acts, but it is not necessary that in laying the overt acts the details of the evidence intended to be given at the trial should be stated: it is sufficient if the charge be reduced to a reasonable certainty, so that the defendant may be apprised of the nature of the offence with which he is charged".

44. According to Archbold, para. 3026: "The count is proved by proving one or more of the overt acts laid".

45. Examples of specific overt acts are:

- a) Assisting in the preparation of the draft Treaty establishing European Union.
- b) Voting in favour of the preliminary draft of the Treaty on 14th September, 1983, at a sitting of the European Assembly.
- c) Being present and eligible to vote, but failing to vote against the preliminary draft Treaty on 14th September, 1983.
- d) Voting in favour of the draft Treaty on 14th February, 1984, at a sitting of the European Assembly.
- e) Being present and eligible to vote, but failing to vote against the draft Treaty, on 14th February, 1984.
- f) Deliberately absenting themselves in order not to have to vote on 14th February, 1984.
- g) Other actions intended to promote acceptance of the draft Treaty establishing European Union.
- h) Active membership of the European Movement or other pressure groups aiming to promote European Union.

46. An essential component of the actions taken by conspirators who are seeking to establish the One World Marxist dictatorship has been that the public should remain unaware of what is being done. In the 1970s Regulations by the then Attorney-General with regard to the Prosecution of Offences, have considerably increased the powers of the Director of Public Prosecutions. Among other things, the present D.P.P. has used his powers to prevent prosecution for offences directed towards promoting the One World dictatorship. It is, therefore, possible that he would refuse permission to prosecute in the present instance, not because of lack of evidence, still less because it "would not be in the public interest", *but because a prosecution would be contrary to his personal interests*. Such a refusal would itself be an offence under both the 1351 and 1848 Acts.

TREASON!

SAVE OUR COUNTRY FROM EUROPEAN SLAVERY

**Alert the public to the fact that supporting
European Union is treason.**

Send for our special poster.

Only £1 for 20 from

CANDOUR PUBLISHING COMPANY

RUDOLF HESS: PRISONER OF PEACE

by COLIN JORDAN

Sharp in my memory is that day in 1941 when my father came hurrying to my bedroom to impart the early morning news on the radio that Rudolf Hess had flown to Britain. His face, as he told me this startling news, was bright with gladsome anticipation, for in his naivete, he thought that this meant that the crazy conflict between the brother-nations of Britain and Germany would be ended. Those were the days when an African was a curiosity in our country, and when the combination of the British Navy and the German Army could have kept the peace of the world. But it was not to be: Hess was spurned and the war continued on its catastrophic course to its catastrophic end. Into prison went the emissary of peace.

Talmudic Vengeance

Forty-three years after his heroic, solo flight across the wartime sky Rudolf Hess still remains behind bars at ninety years of age in Spandau Prison, Berlin, kept there as an object of Talmudic vengeance in a pillory of punishment for a vilified national socialism; kept there because he knows too much to be allowed to move and talk freely; and kept there because this monumentally steadfast man refuses to break faith and recant.

Periodically, as a sop to public opinion which recognizes the sheer savagery of this continued, solitary confinement of this very old man, the British Government goes through the motions of asking the Russians — who can be expected to refuse — to agree to his release; and then, when the refusal is received, to acquit itself of responsibility for the time. On all the many occasions I have tackled the Foreign Office the same, set answer has been received that by the agreement with Moscow Hess cannot be released, or his conditions of custody changed without Moscow's consent, and that to commit the moral wrong of breaking that agreement would bring the practical loss of jeopardizing other agreements with Moscow.

This I have long sought to impress on them is a feeble fallacy. The overwhelming moral wrong lies in the continued imprisonment of Hess after such a barbaric length of time in consequence of a thoroughly wrongful conviction. The Prisoner of Peace was convicted and sentenced to life imprisonment for conspiring against peace and to wage aggressive war by a rigged victor's tribunal at Nuremberg in 1945-46, conceived as a propaganda

display against national socialism to match its military defeat. There, sitting in judgement for the Soviet Union, a country not long before expelled from the League of Nations for precisely the same offence in respect of its 1939 attack on Finland, was none other than I. T. Nikitchenko, top operative of the terror courts and show trials of Stalin's system of suppression. In view of this, there can be no moral justification whatsoever for now still adhering to an agreement involving such gross injustice, and instead the strongest moral obligation to terminate it immediately.

As for the practical considerations, it should be wholly apparent that as supreme practitioners of expediency the Bolsheviks make and keep to agreements with the West just as long as it suits their advantage. This fact — which on the moral plane invalidates them from protesting at breaches of agreement by others — means that, after a period of much huffing and puffing, they are unlikely on this account to do anything radically different from what they otherwise would have done, and are instead most likely if not certain to adhere to any agreement which still suits them by its continued advantage to them.

Even if, despite this logic, Britain, the U.S.A. and France are nevertheless unprepared, without Soviet consent, to release Hess completely because of the greater breach of their agreement which this involved, a lesser breach which should give them proportionately less, indeed minimal fear of reprisal would be to place Hess in much better conditions throughout their rotational periods of administration, and thus during three-quarters of the time; the options in this respect ranging from at least vastly improved conditions in the prison to conditions of confinement to his home. Thus, one way or the other, the Western Powers have no acceptable excuse at all for continuing to do nothing for Hess.

Having campaigned for some twenty-five years for the release of Rudolf Hess, let us all now, in the twilight of his life, take our stand in constant vigil in his honour throughout each passing day of his continuing bondage. Let us in all ways continually call attention to the monstrous injustice he still suffers, and use it effectively as a big stick relentlessly to beat those responsible.

Reprinted in abridged form, with acknowledgements, from "Gothic Ripples", Thorgarth, Greenhow Hill, Harrogate, North Yorkshire HG3 5JQ.

USURY'S UGLY HEAD

"The near panic in Europe and the United States about the stability of American banks is a mirror of the economic chaos into which the international banking system has been driven. It also reminds us that usury, once considered a most grave sin by the Church, still thrives and increases. Lending money at vicious interest still outrages both Christian and Moslem morality in a way which no modern economic theories can excuse.

"The Continental Illinois Bank, the eighth largest in the United States, has been rescued by a massive whip-round by other banks. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has agreed to find immediate cash to satisfy frightened depositors. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation has said that it will protect all general creditors of the Bank.

"There is a warning here for American and some European banks. They have lent to desperate developing nations because they saw the possibility of high returns from rapid growth. They made it easy for these countries to take up a steadily increasing number of loans. When some of these banks began to realise that initial capital outlay was not necessarily recoverable, they were faced with a liquidity crisis. A cry for help went out to the I.M.F. The usual I.M.F. answer is to compel debtor governments to make severe economies as a condition of loan rescheduling.

"It is the poor of the third world who suffer. Their standards of living — often already far too low — decline still further. Output plummets, the poorest countries can no longer afford to buy vital "inputs" with which to improve their agriculture. At the same time, interest rates continue to rise. The debtor countries are locked in an apparently unbreakable cell of hopelessness. We should remind ourselves that it is little more than sixty years since Chesterton and Belloc anathematised modern usury — and some Catholics laughed at them."

With acknowledgements to the Catholic Herald, 15th June, 1984.

RITUAL EVIL

Among the tit-bits in an issue just received of the French patriotic newsletter, *Europe Notre Patrie*, are details of how Muslim immigrants in Paris buy goats and poultry and imprison them in their tenements — often in the bathroom — until the end of Ramadan signals the time to slaughter them. A social worker who called on one Muslim family found the walls of their flat bloodstained and so asked: "Has there been a murder here?" 'Oh no! Only our usual celebration!' Such bloody and barbaric excesses are in

addition to the cruel ritual slaughter in abattoirs of animals for food purposes, as demanded by Muslims — and Jews.

CHORALE

The worst of both worlds — thus, we bleed
'Twix Scargill, with his Marxist creed
And Thatcher, who would privatise
The air we breathe and then advise
That shallow breathing makes for health
And supplements the nation's wealth.
Little Brother and sibling
In harmony, duet they sing
The anthem of the Hidden Hand
Backed by the International Band;
Togetherness — but not to show
In Puppets' Oratorio.
Such 'opposites' — like magnet poles —
Unify a force to goals
Obedient to Master Plan
For Robots, Cosmopolitan. B. E. BIGGS.

We notice the National Coal Board has applied the now traditional epithet "mindless" to the activities on colliery sites and elsewhere of wrecking gangs of striking miners. It seems more likely that these acts of *planned* destruction are a *calculated* attempt to persuade members of the general public that our irresolute and feeble Government is wanting in the will and/or the means to defend them from violence. Did not the historian Taine write of the "horror of blood" that led to the pre-revolutionary French ruling classes' failure to preserve order by force? They forgot that "the maintenance of society is of infinitely greater importance than the lives of a parcel of malefactors". This is not to argue, of course, as we have stipulated before, that the miners' strike is *purely and simply* an attempt by a Marxist union leader to bring down a democratically elected government by insurrectionary force, as did *The Times* recently. While there can be little doubt that there are those at all levels in the N.U.M. who are determined to do just that, the key to understanding the wider issue has been epitomized above by B. E. Biggs.

BRAVO!

"I have always enjoyed writing letters. I wrote to Hitler when he started burning books. I said I'd make him a good offer if he would let me buy them and he could put the money to some good purpose in Germany. He replied that he was grateful for my suggestion, but he would no sooner corrupt the morals of the British than the Germans."

— Christina Foyle in the *Sunday Express Magazine*,
12th August, 1984.

LIGHT AND BITTER

by JANUS

Satanic Market

Lest we fall into the trap of feeling somewhat superior about the latest news of the widespread and indiscriminate toll of killings in Uganda, where children have been set alight, we should not forget the heinous acts of which Europeans are capable. In a front page editorial the Vatican newspaper *L'Osservatore Romano* has condemned as "a satanic market" the international traffic in human foetuses for "scientific research" and for use in the cosmetics industry. Like all markets, this evil has its centres of production and its price lists and its consumers — consumers of human life. Alberto Cherga, an Italian Euro-M.P., is urging a ban on such "trade", in which embryological laboratories serving the cosmetics industry in Europe are carrying out experiments on foetuses aged up to 21 weeks, which are removed *whole and live* by means of a hysterectomy. The perpetrators of such wickedness would do well to recall these words: "Who so shall offend one of these little ones . . . it were better for him that a millstone were hanged around his neck, and that he were drowned in the depth of the sea."

Direct Action

Benedictine Fr. Edward Markley, pro-life activities co-ordinator in the diocese of Birmingham. Alabama, has been bound over to a grand jury to face charges of using a sledgehammer last May to do about five thousand dollars' damage to an abortion clinic. His action came a month after the 44-year-old Father was convicted for a similar "offence" at another clinic, where he assaulted two employees. The action of this lone brave priest in combatting the *actual* massacre of babies stands in stark contrast to the hysterical antics of all the turbulent priests in the Christian C.N.D. circus who wring their hands in fear and anxiety at the *possible* slaughter that the bomb entails.

Shoot The I.R.A.

Why has there been such an outcry about the accidental killing of one cudgel-wielding I.R.A. activist during the fracas surrounding the attempt to arrest Martin Galvin? Should the policeman responsible have stood idly by and watched a fellow officer be clubbed on the head? Plastic bullets *can* be dangerous, but so, too, are lead bullets and gelignite. At the same time an R.U.C. officer was dying in hospital from *deliberate* shooting by the

Provos — and there was no media fuss about that. The I.R.A. have killed unarmed men, sometimes in front of their small children. They have murdered elderly men for no other crime than having previously been a member of the police. Therefore, I see no reason that any known I.R.A. supporters should not be legitimate targets for our Army and police. Certainly the Provos have regarded the reverse as true since the first Armalite and Thompson were used in 1969.

Kosher Candidate

Top marks to any *Candour* subscriber who takes the *Jewish Chronicle* who recognised a recently published photograph of a young man in 1949 addressing a meeting in Hyde Park from the A.J.E.X. platform. It was none other than Walter Mondale, the Democrat candidate for the U.S. Presidency. Here then as a student, he was more than keen to say a few words on "the fight against anti-semitism". Perhaps Mondale was mindful of the Jewish vote in the years ahead. But, interestingly, a *Candour* reader in Germany reports to me he suspects Mondale is actually a Jew, commenting that his ancestors, named Mandel, moved to Norway from Poland and then Austria. I have no proof of Mondale's Jewish pedigree, but as Faulconbridge said to Hubert, "I do suspect thee very grievously."

Travelling Snack

The *Telegraph* reported in July that a Nigerian found at Heathrow Airport with two live rabbits in his pocket said: "I'm going to eat them." Customs officers let him continue his journey to Lagos after confiscating the rabbits.

Nationalists selling books, magazines, newspapers at reasonable prices. Return of post service. S.a.e. for lists to Allen & Co., 14 Devon Street, Blackpool, Lancs FY4 3BL.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K.	£4.00
Overseas airmail	£4.50
U.S.A. Dollar Rate	\$10.00

Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants GU33 7DD